Analytic solution for the spectral density and localization properties of complex networks

Jeferson Dias da Silva and Fernando Lucas Metz

Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul



- spreading of diseases;
- synchronization transition;
- stability of complex systems.
- Analytical solutions only for the homogeneous case;
 - regular random graphs;
 - high-connectivity limit.
- How do we extract analytic information from heterogeneous random networks?
- Study the spectral and localization properties of heterogeneous random networks in the high connectivity limit.

- spreading of diseases;
- synchronization transition;
- stability of complex systems.
- Analytical solutions only for the homogeneous case;
 - regular random graphs;
 - high-connectivity limit.
- How do we extract analytic information from heterogeneous random networks?
- Study the spectral and localization properties of heterogeneous random networks in the high connectivity limit.

- spreading of diseases;
- synchronization transition;
- stability of complex systems.
- Analytical solutions only for the homogeneous case;
 - regular random graphs;
 - high-connectivity limit.
- How do we extract analytic information from heterogeneous random networks?
- Study the spectral and localization properties of heterogeneous random networks in the high connectivity limit.

- spreading of diseases;
- synchronization transition;
- stability of complex systems.
- Analytical solutions only for the homogeneous case;
 - regular random graphs;
 - high-connectivity limit.
- How do we extract analytic information from heterogeneous random networks?
- Study the spectral and localization properties of heterogeneous random networks in the high connectivity limit.

Simple and undirected random graph with N nodes and average degree c;

- Network topology specified by the weighted adjacency random matrix **A** with entries given by A_{ij} = c_{ij} J_{ij};
- $c_{ij} = 1$ if *i* and *j* are connected and $c_{ij} = 0$ otherwise;
- ► J_{ij} i.i.d random variable distributed as p_J with mean zero and standard deviation J_1/\sqrt{c} ;
- A is generated according to the configuration model of networks.

- Simple and undirected random graph with N nodes and average degree c;
- Network topology specified by the weighted adjacency random matrix **A** with entries given by A_{ij} = c_{ij}J_{ij};
- $c_{ij} = 1$ if *i* and *j* are connected and $c_{ij} = 0$ otherwise;
- ► J_{ij} i.i.d random variable distributed as p_J with mean zero and standard deviation J_1/\sqrt{c} ;
- A is generated according to the configuration model of networks.

- Simple and undirected random graph with N nodes and average degree c;
- Network topology specified by the weighted adjacency random matrix **A** with entries given by A_{ij} = c_{ij} J_{ij};
- $c_{ij} = 1$ if *i* and *j* are connected and $c_{ij} = 0$ otherwise;
- ► J_{ij} i.i.d random variable distributed as p_J with mean zero and standard deviation J_1/\sqrt{c} ;
- A is generated according to the configuration model of networks.

- Simple and undirected random graph with N nodes and average degree c;
- Network topology specified by the weighted adjacency random matrix **A** with entries given by A_{ij} = c_{ij} J_{ij};
- $c_{ij} = 1$ if *i* and *j* are connected and $c_{ij} = 0$ otherwise;
- ► J_{ij} i.i.d random variable distributed as p_J with mean zero and standard deviation J_1/\sqrt{c} ;
- A is generated according to the configuration model of networks.

- Simple and undirected random graph with N nodes and average degree c;
- Network topology specified by the weighted adjacency random matrix **A** with entries given by A_{ij} = c_{ij} J_{ij};
- $c_{ij} = 1$ if *i* and *j* are connected and $c_{ij} = 0$ otherwise;
- ► J_{ij} i.i.d random variable distributed as p_J with mean zero and standard deviation J_1/\sqrt{c} ;
- A is generated according to the configuration model of networks.

Spectral density

$$\rho(\lambda) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \delta(\lambda - \lambda_{\mu})$$

LDOS

$$ho_i(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu=1}^N |v_{\mu,i}|^2 \delta(\lambda - \lambda_\mu)$$

IPR

$$Y_{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^N (v_{\mu,i})^4$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \delta(\lambda - \lambda_{\mu}) Y_{\mu}}{\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \delta(\lambda - \lambda_{\mu})}$$

Spectral density

$$\rho(\lambda) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \delta(\lambda - \lambda_{\mu})$$

LDOS

$$ho_i(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu=1}^N |v_{\mu,i}|^2 \delta(\lambda - \lambda_\mu)$$

IPR

$$Y_{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^N (v_{\mu,i})^4$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda) = \lim_{N o \infty} rac{\sum_{\mu=1}^N \delta(\lambda - \lambda_\mu) Y_\mu}{\sum_{\mu=1}^N \delta(\lambda - \lambda_\mu)}$$

Spectral density

$$\rho(\lambda) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \delta(\lambda - \lambda_{\mu})$$

LDOS

$$ho_i(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu=1}^N |v_{\mu,i}|^2 \delta(\lambda - \lambda_\mu)$$

IPR

$$Y_{\mu}=\sum_{i=1}^N (v_{\mu,i})^4$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda) = \lim_{N o \infty} rac{\sum_{\mu=1}^N \delta(\lambda - \lambda_\mu) Y_\mu}{\sum_{\mu=1}^N \delta(\lambda - \lambda_\mu)}$$

Spectral density

$$\rho(\lambda) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \delta(\lambda - \lambda_{\mu})$$

IPR

$$Y_{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (v_{\mu,i})^4$$

LDOS

$$ho_i(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu=1}^N |m{v}_{\mu,i}|^2 \delta(\lambda-\lambda_\mu)$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda) = \lim_{N o \infty} rac{\sum_{\mu=1}^N \delta(\lambda - \lambda_\mu) Y_\mu}{\sum_{\mu=1}^N \delta(\lambda - \lambda_\mu)}$$

Resolvent matrix

LDOS

 $\boldsymbol{G}(\boldsymbol{z}) = (\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{A})^{-1}, \quad \boldsymbol{z} = \lambda - i\epsilon \qquad \qquad \rho_i = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \boldsymbol{G}_{ii}(\boldsymbol{z})$

Spectral density

IPR

 $\rho_{\epsilon}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Im} G_{ii}(z) \qquad \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon}(\lambda) = \frac{\epsilon}{\pi \rho_{\epsilon}(\lambda)} \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |G_{ii}(z)|^2$

Resolvent matrix

LDOS

 $\boldsymbol{G}(z) = (\boldsymbol{I} z - \boldsymbol{A})^{-1}, \ z = \lambda - i\epsilon$ $\rho_i = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \boldsymbol{G}_{ii}(z)$

Spectral density

IPR

$$\rho_{\epsilon}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Im} G_{ii}(z) \qquad \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon}(\lambda) = \frac{\epsilon}{\pi \rho_{\epsilon}(\lambda)} \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |G_{ii}(z)|^2$$

Cavity method



Figure: Locally tree-like structure.

Cavity equations

$$G_{ii}(z) = rac{1}{z - \sum_{j \in \partial_i} J_{ij}^2 G_{jj}^{(i)}(z)}$$
 $(i = 1, \dots, N)$

The symbol ∂_i denotes the set of nodes adjacent to *i*.

$$G_{jj}^{(i)}(z) = rac{1}{z - \sum_{\ell \in \partial_j \setminus i} J_{j\ell}^2 G_{\ell\ell}^{(j)}(z)} \quad i \in \partial_j$$

Law of large numbers $(1 \ll c \ll N)$

 $\sum J_{ij}^2 G_{jj}^{(i)}(z) \stackrel{c \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{k_i}{c} J_1^2 \langle G \rangle$

$$\nu(\kappa) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k \delta\left(\kappa - \frac{k}{c}\right)$$

Cavity equations

$$G_{ii}(z) = rac{1}{z - \sum_{j \in \partial_i} J_{ij}^2 G_{jj}^{(i)}(z)}$$
 $(i = 1, ..., N)$

The symbol ∂_i denotes the set of nodes adjacent to *i*.

$$\mathcal{G}_{jj}^{(i)}(z) = rac{1}{z - \sum_{\ell \in \partial_j \setminus i} J_{j\ell}^2 \mathcal{G}_{\ell\ell}^{(j)}(z)} \quad i \in \partial_j$$

Law of large numbers $(1 \ll c \ll N)$

 $\sum J_{ij}^2 G_{jj}^{(i)}(z) \stackrel{c \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{k_i}{c} J_1^2 \langle G \rangle$

$$\nu(\kappa) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k \delta\left(\kappa - \frac{k}{c}\right)$$

Cavity equations

$$G_{ii}(z) = rac{1}{z - \sum_{j \in \partial_i} J_{ij}^2 G_{jj}^{(i)}(z)}$$
 $(i = 1, \dots, N)$

The symbol ∂_i denotes the set of nodes adjacent to *i*.

$$\mathcal{G}_{jj}^{(i)}(z) = rac{1}{z - \sum_{\ell \in \partial_j \setminus i} J_{j\ell}^2 \mathcal{G}_{\ell\ell}^{(j)}(z)} \quad i \in \partial_j$$

Law of large numbers $(1 \ll c \ll N)$

 $\sum_{i=0} J_{ij}^2 G_{jj}^{(i)}(z) \stackrel{c \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{k_i}{c} J_1^2 \langle G \rangle$

$$\nu(\kappa) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k \delta\left(\kappa - \frac{k}{c}\right)$$

Cavity equations

$$G_{ii}(z) = rac{1}{z - \sum_{j \in \partial_i} J_{ij}^2 G_{jj}^{(i)}(z)}$$
 $(i = 1, ..., N)$

The symbol ∂_i denotes the set of nodes adjacent to *i*.

$$\mathcal{G}_{jj}^{(i)}(z) = rac{1}{z - \sum_{\ell \in \partial_j \setminus i} J_{j\ell}^2 \mathcal{G}_{\ell\ell}^{(j)}(z)} \quad i \in \partial_j$$

Law of large numbers $(1 \ll c \ll N)$

$$\sum_{j\in\partial_i} J_{ij}^2 G_{jj}^{(i)}(z) \stackrel{c o\infty}{\longrightarrow} rac{k_i}{c} J_1^2 \langle G
angle$$

$$\nu(\kappa) = \lim_{c \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k \delta\left(\kappa - \frac{k}{c}\right)$$

Equations for the observables

Average resolvent on the cavity graph

$$\langle G \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\kappa \, \nu(\kappa) \kappa}{z - \kappa J_1^2 \langle G \rangle}$$

Spectral density

$$ho_{\epsilon}(\lambda) = rac{1}{\pi} \mathrm{Im} \Bigg[\int_{0}^{\infty} rac{d\kappa \,
u(\kappa)}{z - \kappa J_{1}^{2} \langle G
angle} \Bigg]$$

Inverse participation ratio

$$\mathcal{I}_\epsilon(\lambda) = rac{\epsilon}{\pi
ho_\epsilon(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty rac{d\kappa \,
u(\kappa)}{|z-\kappa J_1^2 \langle G
angle|^2}$$

pdf of the LDOS at z = 0

$$P_0(y) = \frac{1}{J_1 y^2} \nu \left(\frac{1}{J_1 y}\right), \quad y_i = \operatorname{Im} G_{ii}$$
$$z = 0 \Longrightarrow \langle G \rangle = \frac{i}{2} \text{ for any } \nu(\kappa)$$

Equations for the observables

Average resolvent on the cavity graph

$$\langle G \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\kappa \, \nu(\kappa) \kappa}{z - \kappa J_1^2 \langle G \rangle}$$

Spectral density

$$\rho_{\epsilon}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\kappa \,\nu(\kappa)}{z - \kappa J_{1}^{2}\langle G \rangle}\right]$$

Inverse participation ratio

$$\mathcal{I}_\epsilon(\lambda) = rac{\epsilon}{\pi
ho_\epsilon(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty rac{d\kappa \,
u(\kappa)}{|z-\kappa J_1^2 \langle G
angle|^2}$$

pdf of the LDOS at z = 0

$$P_0(y) = \frac{1}{J_1 y^2} \nu \left(\frac{1}{J_1 y}\right), \ y_i = \operatorname{Im} G_{ii}$$
$$z = 0 \Longrightarrow \langle G \rangle = \frac{i}{J_1} \text{ for any } \nu(\kappa).$$

Negative binomial degree distribution

Results for random graphs with a negative binomial degree distribution

The pdf of the re-scaled degrees stands as

$$\nu_b(\kappa) = \frac{\alpha^{\alpha} \kappa^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\alpha \kappa}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

• For $c o \infty$, the relative variance of $p_k^{(\mathrm{b})}$ reads

$$\lim_{c\to\infty}\frac{\sigma_b^2}{c^2}=\frac{1}{\alpha}$$

The spectral density

- $\blacktriangleright \alpha$ controls the heterogeneity
- ▶ $\alpha \to \infty \Longrightarrow$ homogeneous network
- ▶ $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ strongly heterogeneous network

$$\begin{split} J_1^2 \langle G \rangle^2 &= \left(\frac{-\alpha z}{J_1^2 \langle G \rangle}\right)^\alpha \exp\left(\frac{-\alpha z}{J_1^2 \langle G \rangle}\right) \Gamma\left(1-\alpha, \frac{-\alpha z}{J_1^2 \langle G \rangle}\right) - 1\\ \rho_\epsilon(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{J_1^2 \langle G \rangle^2 + 1}{z}\right] \end{split}$$

The spectral density



The spectral density for $|\lambda| \rightarrow 0$



 $egin{array}{lll} 0 < lpha < 1 & lpha > 1 \
ho(\lambda) \sim |\lambda|^{lpha - 1} &
ho(\lambda) \sim \log |\lambda| &
ho(\lambda) = rac{1}{\pi J_1} rac{lpha}{lpha - 1} \end{array}$

The IPR



For $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$

- $\rho_{\epsilon}(\lambda)$ converges to a finite value;
- $\mathcal{I}_{\epsilon}(\lambda)$ vanishes as $\mathcal{I}_{\epsilon}(\lambda) \sim \epsilon$;
- The same behavior holds for other values of α.

The distribution of the LDOS



$$P_0(y) = \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)J_1^{\alpha}} \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha}{J_1y}}}{y^{\alpha+1}}$$

$$\overline{y^{q}} = \frac{\alpha^{q} \Gamma\left(\alpha - q\right)}{J_{1}^{q} \Gamma(\alpha)}$$

Conclusion

Spectral properties of heterogeneous random networks with finite c do not have analytical form;

- c → ∞, analytical solutions for the adjacency matrix observables of heterogeneous networks;
- Negative binomial degree distribution:
 - Heterogeneity controlled by a single parameter α ;
 - Singularity on the spectral density for $\alpha \leq 1$;
 - For $\lambda \neq 0$, IPR vanishes proportional to ϵ ;
 - For $\lambda = 0$, $P_0(y)$ regular shape with a power-law tail;
 - Extended eigenvectors in the entire spectrum.

Conclusion

- Spectral properties of heterogeneous random networks with finite c do not have analytical form;
- c → ∞, analytical solutions for the adjacency matrix observables of heterogeneous networks;
- Negative binomial degree distribution:
 - Heterogeneity controlled by a single parameter α ;
 - Singularity on the spectral density for $\alpha \leq 1$;
 - For $\lambda \neq 0$, IPR vanishes proportional to ϵ ;
 - For $\lambda = 0$, $P_0(y)$ regular shape with a power-law tail;
 - Extended eigenvectors in the entire spectrum.

Conclusion

- Spectral properties of heterogeneous random networks with finite c do not have analytical form;
- c → ∞, analytical solutions for the adjacency matrix observables of heterogeneous networks;
- Negative binomial degree distribution:
 - Heterogeneity controlled by a single parameter α;
 - Singularity on the spectral density for $\alpha \leq 1$;
 - For $\lambda \neq 0$, IPR vanishes proportional to ϵ ;
 - For $\lambda = 0$, $P_0(y)$ regular shape with a power-law tail;
 - Extended eigenvectors in the entire spectrum.

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Analytic solution of the resolvent equations for heterogeneous random graphs: spectral and localization properties

Jeferson D Silva¹ 🕩 and Fernando L Metz^{3,1,2} 🕩

Published 19 December 2022 • © 2022 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd

Journal of Physics: Complexity, Volume 3, Number 4

Focus on Random Matrices and Complex Networks

Citation Jeferson D Silva and Fernando L Metz 2022 J. Phys. Complex. 3 045012

DOI 10.1088/2632-072X/aca9b1

Thank you!