



Northeastern University Network Science Institute

Quantifying Biobank Impact

Rodrigo Dorantes-Gilardi, John Gaziano and Albert-László Barabási

Email: r.dorantesgilardi [at] northeastern [dot] edu

Twitter: @ruydg

Biobanks are biorepositories



For a given population











Bio samples

Genomic sequence

Medical history and lifestyle records

To study health and disease



Biobanks essential for biomedical research

- Important diseases studied
- Diverse populations sampled
- General public or specific phenotype



A biobank helped uncover the risk factors of cardiovascular diseases



Framingham Heart Study

Est. in Massachusetts in 1948

Other examples include



Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative



Enabling scientific discoveries that improve human health

The Cancer Genome Atlas



Biobank numbers are hard to quantify

No central biobank database exists

How Many Health Research Biobanks Are There?

Sheila O'Donoghue 💿 , Simon Dee 💿 , Jennifer A. Byrne 💿 , and Peter Hamilton Watson 💿 🖂

Published Online: 28 Sep 2021 | https://doi.org/10.1089/bio.2021.0063

Numbers and Impact hard to track

Sometimes biobank recognition goes lacking

Cambon-Thomsen et al. Proposed a biobank impact factor

The role of a bioresource research impact factor as an incentive to share human bioresources

the BRIF workshop group

Nature Genetics 43, 503–504 (2011) Cite this article

Some unanswered questions to tackle

- Numbers: How many biobanks are there of each type?
- Incentives: How are researchers giving recognition to biobanks?
- Success: What are the characteristics of high-impact biobanks?

Methodology



Classify biobanks

The impact of biobanks is local

56K+ Citations to 1k biobanks.

On average:

- 31% same affiliation
- 63% national
- 56% collaborations



Share of citations

Citations are not a recognition



Example: Framingham Heart Study (FHS) and UK Biobank



Type of article

Observation:

Less than **40% of articles** with the biobank in the title or abstract cite the biobank

Potential consequence:

- Co-authorship as a possible incentive to produce biobanks.

Biobank co-citation network

Sample of **1,209** biobanks with their official design article.

Biobank co-citation network

- Nodes: Biobanks
- Edges: Co-citations

Communities based on titles from citing papers (LDA).

- Health based
- Population based
- Aging
- British biobanks
- Developing countries
- Birth/childhood



Biobanks Focus on different conditions

Community		Population based (119)
	Birth/childhood (56)
	Health based (46)	
	Aging (39)	Po
	British (22)	MentalLym
	Developing country (11)	Heart
Ċ	25 50 75 100	
	Number of studied diseases	



Early_{Substance} Detection Insufficiency

Progression

Cerebral

Population based



Birth/childhood

Attent

Health based





Characteristics of high impact biobanks

$$\log \frac{P(a_i = 1)}{1 - P(a_i = 1)} \propto \beta_1 \times n_i + \beta_2 \times d_i + \beta_3 \times k_i + \beta_4 \times g_i + \beta_5 \times p_i.$$





1. General purpose with genetic data are central nodes in co-citation network



3. Popular PIs push the biobank impact immediately

Conclusions

- 1) Incentive of Biobank creation is based on collaborations and not citations
- 2) Six biobank communities with different characteristics/diseases studied
- 3) Genetic data and electronic health records is important for biobank success
- 4) Popular leaders are important for biobank success

Thanks to the team



Albert-Laszlo Barabási



Kerry Ivey



Rachael Matty



Lauren Costa



Kelly Cho



John Gaziano