# "Mathematical and data-driven models of infectious disease spreading"

# Prof. Yamir Moreno

Complex Systems & Networks Lab (**COSNET**), Institute for Biocomputation and Physics of Complex Systems (BIFI) Department of Theoretical Physics , University of Zaragoza, Spain Centai Institute, Turin, Italy.

> Past-President, Network Science Society Past-President, Complex Systems Society









### Global map of significant and new emerging infections in humans: spread to new areas since 1998



Newly identified emerging infection

Disease spread to new area

Emerging infection and disease spread

# Despite all efforts, the prediction of the course and unfolding of a large-scale disease is a major challenge. Why?



# Black death in1347: a continuous diffusion process

# SARS epidemics: a discrete network driven process





# What do we need to include?

- How the disease is transmitted
- What are the disease stages
- Human behavioural changes

# What has changed?



# What has changed?



The system is even more complex: humans adapt, which in principle makes predictions harder.

### **Experiment:**

- Participants must pay for the milk consumed with coffee or tea.

- The amount due is fixed, but each one decides whether to pay or not.

- Each week the deposited money is collected.



### **Experiment:**

- Participants must pay for the milk consumed with coffee or tea.

- The amount due is fixed, but each one decides whether to pay or not.

- Each week the deposited money is collected.

### **Experiment:**

- Participants must pay for the milk consumed with coffee or tea.

- The amount due is fixed, but each one decides whether to pay or not.

- Each week the deposited money is collected.



### **Experiment:**

- Participants must pay for the milk consumed with coffee or tea.

- The amount due is fixed, but each one decides whether to pay or not.

- Each week the deposited money is collected.

### **Humans can be fine-tuned!**

### **Mathematical Model**

 $R_0 = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}k$ 





### **COVID-19 Natural history**



### SEIR-like model

### **Mathematical Model**



 $R_0 < 1$  On average, less than 1 new infected

 $R_0 \ge 1$ 

On average, more than 1 new infected

### Disease propagation mechanisms: Mobility and Networks of interaction

$$R_0 = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}k$$

### **Isolated Individual**

### Human collective behavior



humans as social beings

### **Networks of Interactions**









### Communication



Social



### **Networks**







### Poisson distribution





**Exponential Network** 

### Poisson distribution

### Power-law distribution





**Exponential Network** 





Scale-free Network

### **Structured Populations**



# Analytics

# Complex Networks



# Multilayer Networks





- New Theoretical Framework
- Characterization real networks
- New metrics
- (Simple) Dynamics: Diffusion, Percolation, Spreading

M. Kivela, A. Arenas, M. Barthelemy, J. P. Gleeson, <u>Y. Moreno</u>, and M. A. Porter, "**Multilayer Networks**", Journal of Complex Networks 2, 203-271 (2014).

# A. Aleta and <u>Y. Moreno</u>, "Multilayer Networks in a Nutshell", to appear in Annual Reviews of Condensed Matter Physics, (2019).

G Bianconi,"Multilayer Networks"

E. Cozzo, G. F. de Arruda, F. A. Rodrigues and <u>Y. Moreno</u>,"Multilayer Networks: basic formalisms and structural properties", (2018).











B)



# **Multilayer Networks: Transportation**



# Multilayer Networks: Social Systems



# Original, aggregate network



# When unfolded, layers appear



# **Multilayer Networks: Representation**



M. K., A. A., M. B, J. P. G., Y. M., and M. A. P., "Multilayer Networks", Journal of Complex Networks 2, 203-271 (2014).

E. Cozzo, G. F. de Arruda, F. A. Rodrigues and <u>Y. Moreno</u>,"Multilayer Networks: basic formalisms and structural properties", (2018).

# **Competing and/or Interacting Diseases**

A much less explored problem:

# • Theoretical models

"Dynamics of Interacting Diseases" (J. Sanz, C.-Y. Xia, S. Meloni, **Y. Moreno**, **Physical Review X 4**, 041005, 2014).

# • Meta-population

"Competing Strains on Structured Populations" (C. Poletto, SM, V. Colizza, **Y. Moreno**, A. Vespignani, **PloS Comp. Bio. 9 (8)**: e1003169, 2013).

How to deal with the topology (NoC)?

Layers account for different networks of contacts through which diseases spread





# **Host Population**



# **Co-occurrence TB-HIV:**



Estimated Incidence of Tuberculosis per 100,000 Population in African Countries in 1990 and 2005. Data are from the World Health Organization. ND denotes no data.

# From "**Tuberculosis in Africa, combating an HIV-driven Crisis**" Chaisson, R.E. & Martinson, N.A., New Eng. J. Med., March 2008.

# Social Contagion



**Social Movements** 

**Belief Adoption** 

Viral spreading

# Multilayer Networks: Social Systems



# Original, aggregate network



# When unfolded, layers appear



# Models



### Information like a pathogen: SIS



L. Weng, F. Menczer, Y.-Y. Ahn, **Virality Prediction and Community Structure in Social Networks**, Sci. Rep. 02522 (2013)

### $p_i(t+1) = (1 - q_i(t))(1 - p_i(t)) + (1 - \mu)p_i(t) + \mu(1 - q_i(t))p_i(t)$

$$q_i(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} (1 - \beta r_{ij} p_j(t))$$

$$r_{ij} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{a_{ij}}{k_i}\right)^{\lambda_i}$$

### $p_i(t+1) = (1 - q_i(t))(1 - p_i(t)) + (1 - \mu)p_i(t) + \mu(1 - q_i(t))p_i(t)$

$$q_i(t) = \prod_{j=1}^N (1 - \beta r_{ij} p_j(t))$$

$$r_{ij} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{a_{ij}}{k_i}\right)^{\lambda_i}$$

Probability of not being infected by any neighbor

### $p_i(t+1) = (1 - q_i(t))(1 - p_i(t)) + (1 - \mu)p_i(t) + \mu(1 - q_i(t))p_i(t)$

$$q_i(t) = \prod_{j=1}^N (1 - \beta r_{ij} p_j(t))$$

Probability of not being infected by any neighbor



### Contacts Matrix

### $p_i(t+1) = (1 - q_i(t))(1 - p_i(t)) + (1 - \mu)p_i(t) + \mu(1 - q_i(t))p_i(t)$



Probability of not being infected by any neighbor



### $p_i(t+1) = (1 - q_i(t))(1 - p_i(t)) + (1 - \mu)p_i(t) + \mu(1 - q_i(t))p_i(t)$

$$q_i(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} (1 - \beta r_{ij} p_j(t))$$

$$r_{ij} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{a_{ij}}{k_i}\right)^{\lambda_i}$$

### $p_i(t+1) = (1 - q_i(t))(1 - p_i(t)) + (1 - \mu)p_i(t) + \mu(1 - q_i(t))p_i(t)$



# How to represent it

### Supra-Adjacency Matrix

$$\bar{A} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} + C = A + C$$

$$\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & C_{1,2} & C_{1,3} \\ \hline C_{2,1} & A_2 & C_{2,3} \\ \hline C_{3,1} & C_{3,2} & A_3 \end{pmatrix}$$



# How to represent it

### Supra-Adjacency Matrix

$$\bar{A} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} + C = A + C$$

$$\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & C_{1,2} & C_{1,3} \\ \hline C_{2,1} & A_2 & C_{2,3} \\ \hline C_{3,1} & C_{3,2} & A_3 \end{pmatrix}$$



A<sub>i</sub> Layer adjacency matrix

# How to represent it

### Supra-Adjacency Matrix

$$\bar{A} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} + C = A + C$$

$$\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & C_{1,2} & C_{1,3} \\ \hline C_{2,1} & A_2 & C_{2,3} \\ \hline C_{3,1} & C_{3,2} & A_3 \end{pmatrix}$$



A<sub>i</sub> Layer adjacency matrix

C<sub>i,j</sub> Coupling matrix

# Microscopic Markov Chain on Multiplex

$$\vec{p}(t+1) = (\vec{1} - \vec{p}(t)) * (\vec{1} - \vec{q}(t)) + (\vec{1} - \vec{\mu}) * \vec{p}(t)\vec{\mu} * (\vec{1} - \vec{q}(t)) * \vec{p}(t)$$



$$(R_{\alpha})_{ij} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{(A_{\alpha})_{ij}}{k_{\alpha i}}\right)^{\lambda_{\alpha i}}$$

#### Cozzo et al. Phys. Rev. E 88, 050801(R) (2013)

# Microscopic Markov Chain on Multiplex

$$\vec{p}(t+1) = (\vec{1} - \vec{p}(t)) * (\vec{1} - \vec{q}(t)) + (\vec{1} - \vec{\mu}) * \vec{p}(t)\vec{\mu} * (\vec{1} - \vec{q}(t)) * \vec{p}(t)$$



Cozzo et al. Phys. Rev. E 88, 050801(R) (2013)

# Solving it

$$\left[\bar{R} - \frac{\mu}{\beta}I\right]p = 0$$
$$\left(\frac{\beta}{\mu}\right)_{c} = \frac{1}{\bar{\Lambda}_{max}}$$

The largest eigenvalue of  $\bar{R}$  sets the critical value but...

What does  $\, \bar{\Lambda}_{max} \,$  look like?

# The largest eigenvalue of $\bar{R}$

### **Perturbative Analysis**

 $\bar{R} = R + \epsilon C$  $\bar{\Lambda}_{max} \simeq \Lambda + \epsilon \Delta \Lambda$  $\bar{\Lambda}_{max} = \max_{\alpha} \{\Lambda_{\alpha}\}$  $\Delta \Lambda_{max} = \frac{\vec{v}^T C \vec{v}}{\vec{v}^T \vec{v}}$ 

If 
$$\Lambda_{1_{max}} >> \Lambda_{\alpha_{max}}$$

$$\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{v}_{(1)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \to \Delta \Lambda = 0$$

At first order:  $\bar{\Lambda}_{max} = \Lambda_{max}$ 

# The largest eigenvalue of $\bar{R}$

### **Perturbative Analysis**



If 
$$\Lambda_{1_{max}} >> \Lambda_{\alpha_{max}}$$

$$\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{v}_{(1)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \to \Delta \Lambda = 0$$

At first order:  $\bar{\Lambda}_{max} = \Lambda_{max}$ 

# **Dominant Layer**

The Dominant Layer sets the critical point for the outbreak but...

# Dominance depends on both topology and activity



$$(R_{\alpha})_{ij} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{(A_{\alpha})_{ij}}{k_{\alpha i}}\right)^{\lambda_{\alpha i}}$$

 $\lambda_2$ 

100

10

 $0^{L}_{1}$ 

1000

The Dominant Layer sets the critical point for the outbreak but...

# Dominance depends on both topology and activity



$$(R_{\alpha})_{ij} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{(A_{\alpha})_{ij}}{k_{\alpha i}}\right)^{\lambda_{\alpha i}}$$



drives the social contagion process

**COVID-19: Boston**, more sophisticated model



A. Aleta et al. Nature Human Behaviour 4, 964–971 (2020).

	Baseline		Medium clos.			Non-essential clos.		
Layers	Contacts	%.	Contacts	%	% Diff.	Contacts	%	% Diff.
Community	$3,\!924,\!694$	78	$1,\!378,\!054$	27.4	-72.6	$357,\!144$	7.1	-92.9
Households	160,748	3.2	160,748	3.2	0	160,748	3.2	0
Schools	$944,\!446$	18.8	0	0	-100	0	0	-100
Total	5,029,888	100	$1,\!538,\!802$	30.6	-69.4	$517,\!892$	10.3	-89.7



### COVID-19: Boston, more sophisticated model



### COVID-19: Boston, more sophisticated model



### **Super-spreaders events**





### Hypergraphs: basic definitions





$$\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8\}$$
  
$$\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$$
  
$$e_1 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}, e_2 = \{v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\},$$
  
$$e_3 = \{v_6, v_7\} \text{ and } e_4 = \{v_8\}$$

 $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8\}$  $\mathcal{E} = \{\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_1, v_3\}, \dots, \{v_6, v_7\}\}$ 

### Model definition: Hyperedge process construction



[1] M. Fernandez and S. Williams, IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst. 46, 803 (2010) Guilherme Ferraz de Arruda, Giovanni Petri, and Yamir Moreno, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 023032 Bernoulli random variable:

 $Y_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is active} \\ 0, & \text{if } i \text{ is inactive} \end{cases}$ 

Critical-mass dynamics:

$$\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow T_j = \sum_{k \in e_j} Y_k \\ \rightarrow T_j > \Theta_j \end{array}$$

Poisson binomial distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}(K=k) = \sum_{A \in F_k} \prod_{i \in A} p_i \prod_{j \in A^c} (1-p_j)$$

DFT  $^1$ :

$$\mathbb{P}_{e_j} (K = k) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^n C^{-lk} \prod_{m=1}^n (1 + (C^l - 1)y_m)$$
$$C = \exp\left(\frac{2i\pi}{n+1}\right)$$

### Model definition: Arbitrary hypergraphs



● V4

**e**2

**e**3

**V**6

**V**3

V8 **e**4

**V**1

 $V_2$ 

 $\rightarrow$  First-order approximation

$$\frac{dy_i}{dt} = -\delta y_i + \lambda \left(1 - y_i\right) \sum_{\substack{e_j \cap \{i\} \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{k = \Theta_j \\ n = 1}}^{|e_j|} \lambda^* (|e_j|) \mathbb{P}_{e_j} \left(K = k\right)$$
$$\mathbb{P}_{e_j} \left(K = k\right) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^n C^{-lk} \prod_{m=1}^n \left(1 + (C^l - 1)y_m\right)$$

Guilherme Ferraz de Arruda, Giovanni Petri, and Yamir Moreno, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 023032

### Hyperblob: Analytical results II



FIG. 2. Results for the hyperblob. Panel (a) shows the possible solutions for a fixed  $\Theta^* = 0.5$ . In red and blue, the upper and lower solutions (branches), respectively. The transition from the lower to the upper solution (upper to lower) occurs at the intersection of the lower (upper) solution with a value of  $\rho_c$  in which the upper solution became stable (unstable). The discontinuity is characterized by the latent heat,  $Q_l(\lambda_c^L)$  or  $Q_l(\lambda_c^U)$ . At  $\lambda_c = 0.2$ , the lower solution shows a second-order phase transition. In (b) Schematic of the parameter space: Region I: the absorbing state for both the lower and upper solution; Region II: only the lower solution is stable (the global critical mass is not reached,  $\rho < \rho_c$ ); Region III:  $\rho^{Upper}$  is stable and  $\rho^{Lower} = 0$  (below the critical point); Region IV:  $\rho^{Upper} > \rho^{Lower} > 0$  and both are stable (bi-stable); Region V: only the upper solution is stable (the global critical mass was reached,  $\rho \ge \rho_c$ ).

Guilherme Ferraz de Arruda, Giovanni Petri, and Yamir Moreno, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 023032

#### Main messages

- Hypergraphs have virtually no structural constrains
- Social contagion models in hypergraphs present a vast parameter space
- **O** Real processes might present discontinuity, multistability, hysteresis
- **O** Bimodal distribution of states, intermittency, hybrid transitions, ...
- Pairwise interactions are a necessary condition for a second-order phase transition (special case)
- Motivate further research in higher-order social models